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ABSTRACT

Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD) commonly co-occurs with, and often precedes, Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD). In this paper, we address the relationship between SAD and AUD by considering how natural selection left socially anxious individuals vulnerable to alcohol use, and by addressing the underlying mechanisms. We review research suggesting that social anxiety has evolved for the regulation of behaviors involved in reducing the likelihood or consequences of threats to social status. The management of potential threats to social standing is important considering that these threats can result in reduced cooperation or ostracism — and therefore to reduced access to coalitional partners, resources or mates. Alcohol exerts effects upon evolutionarily conserved emotion circuits, and can down-regulate or block anxiety (or may be expected to do so). As such, the ingestion of alcohol can artificially signal the absence or successful management of social threats. In turn, alcohol use may be reinforced in socially anxious people because of this reduction in subjective malaise, and because it facilitates social behaviors — particularly in individuals for whom the persistent avoidance of social situations poses its own threat (i.e., difficulty finding mates). Although the frequent co-occurrence of SAD and AUD is associated with poorer treatment outcomes than either condition alone, a richer understanding of the biological and psychosocial drives underlying susceptibility to alcohol use among socially anxious individuals may improve the efficacy of therapeutic interventions aimed at preventing or treating this comorbidity.
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underlying traits and mechanisms (Nesse, 2013; Stein, 2006; considerations focus on why SAD and AUD frequently co-occur by con-

Whereas proximate explanations focus on the traits and me-

Indeed, SAD has been found to precede AUD in as many as 80% of comorbid cases, and those with SAD at baseline have been found to have over four times the odds of developing AUD at follow-up after controlling for sociodemographic and psychiatric confounders (including mood, personality and other anxiety disorders) (Buckner et al., 2008; Schneier et al., 2010). Though it is often impractical to disentangle the effects of other conditions occurring concurrently with SAD on alcohol use, higher co-occurrence with depression and anxiety sub-types may be associated with greater vulnerability to and severity of AUD (Hasin et al., 2007; Martins et al., 2012a; Schneier et al., 2010).

In the present paper, we address proximate and ultimate explanations for the forward association between SAD and AUD. Whereas proximate explanations focus on the traits and mechanisms that make individuals with SAD susceptible to AUD and the co-development of these disorders, ultimate (distal) explanations focus on why SAD and AUD frequently co-occur by considering the evolutionary history and adaptive significance of the underlying traits and mechanisms (Nesse, 2013; Stein, 2006; Tinbergen, 1963) (Fig. 1). We now turn to the adaptive significance and proximate mechanisms underlying social anxiety, which can be viewed as the adaptive trait from which SAD deviates.

2. Adaptive significance and proximate mechanisms in social anxiety

Throughout the course of hominin evolution, humans and their ancestral predecessors encountered persistent threats to reproductive fitness. The selective pressure afforded by recurring threats has shaped the evolution of systems to mitigate their potential fitness costs (Nesse, 1990). Anxiety, which is highly conserved in mammalian species, is a keystone of the systems evolved to deal with fitness-related threats (Marks and Nesse, 1994). Anxiety can be defined loosely as the suite of physiological, cognitive and affective changes that produce vigilance, hyper-arousal, and attentional biases towards threat-related stimuli (Bateson et al., 2011; Mathews et al., 1997). Recurrent threats to fitness in hominin evolution included not only physical danger from predation, violence, and pathogens, but also social threats to status that could result in reduced access to resources or mates, or ostracism from a social group in extreme cases (Boyer and Bergstrom, 2011; Gilbert, 2003). Increases in social group size and associated social cognitive demands have been theorized to have driven neocortex size increases in hominins (Barton and Dunbar, 1997; Byrne and Whiten, 1989; Dunbar, 2002; though see Holekamp (2007) and Reader et al. (2011)), and it is therefore plausible that social group complexity has increased during recent hominin evolution (Shultz et al., 2012). This may have resulted in strong selection pressure on mechanisms for tracking social threats, and these mechanisms may underpin social anxiety.

Anxiety is fundamentally concerned with potential threats to fitness, inasmuch as it prepares an organism to face a future threat that is expected to materialize (Adolphs, 2013; Boyer and Lienard, 2006; Damasio, 2010; Dunbar, 2002; Lang et al., 2000; Marks and Nesse, 1994; Miloyan et al., 2015; Woody and Szechtman, 2011). As such, higher levels of social anxiety are associated with faster orientation towards negative social cues, and more generally to threat-related social information (Buckner et al., 2010b; Mansell and Clark, 1999; Mogg et al., 2004; Schulze et al., 2013). Individuals with high degrees of social anxiety also show increased gaze avoidance when confronted with threatening facial cues, although critically this appears to be most pronounced under conditions of social-

Fig. 1. A comprehensive account of a trait (circle) requires complementary proximate and ultimate explanations (hexagons) that can be further specified based on Tinbergen’s (1963) four questions (squares). Here, we seek to explain the lifetime comorbidity between SAD and AUD by addressing proximate and ultimate questions about each of the underlying traits and mechanisms involved in this relationship.
evaluate threat (Buckner et al., 2010a; Mansell et al., 1999). Gaze avoidance away from negative emotional faces could be considered adaptive under conditions of evaluative threat (e.g., from a higher status individual), insofar as submission may mitigate the risk of reduced social cooperation and consequently reduced access to mates or resources (Gilbert, 2001, 2003). Indeed, low socioeconomic status is a strong risk factor for incident SAD (Grant et al., 2005; Magee et al., 1996) – though this is also the case for other mental and behavioral disorders (Muntaner et al., 2004; Wells et al., 1994), and low socioeconomic status may be both a cause and effect of psychopathology (Martins et al., 2012b; Miech et al., 1999; Yu and Williams, 1999).

Despite gaze avoidance under conditions of evaluative threat, individuals with high degrees of social anxiety tend to exhibit an attentional bias toward their own thoughts following social threat detection (Mansell et al., 2003; Pineles and Mineka, 2005). This threat-related attentional bias, though directed inward, is associated with increased physiological arousal (McTeague et al., 2009; Mogg et al., 2004; Moscovitch et al., 2010; Wood et al., 2014). In the context of social anxiety, a propensity for self-reflection presumably allows the individual to observe him or herself from another’s perspective, and to potentially prepare an appropriate response to a threat (Miloyan et al., 2015). Self-reflection, or attention directed toward one’s own thoughts, may be particularly active in situations where external task demands are sufficiently low (in this case, during laboratory tasks, or when an individual is not currently in the relevant social situation) (Smallwood and Schooler, 2015). In sum, clinically socially anxious individuals display many of the hallmarks of a classic anxiety profile in response to socially threatening stimuli, such that they more readily perceive social threat cues, are more likely to express defensive responses toward those cues, and generalize this response to situations or features of stimuli that were previously associated with socially threatening situations (Clark and Wells, 1995; Gilbert, 2001).

Individuals with SAD tend to avoid interpersonal situations or endure them with extreme discomfort due to a pervasive fear of criticism, rejection, or humiliation. Although socially anxious individuals tend to avoid encounters with people they don’t know well, or complex social situations altogether, they may relish interpersonal relations with known others, or more generally, social encounters in safe settings or environments (Martin and Quirk, 2015; Schneider, 2006; Stein and Stein, 2008). Thus, social anxiety may aptly be viewed as a continuum of sensitivity to unknown, complex, and unsafe social situations, such that individuals at one end tend to evaluate threats in such social situations more readily than those at the other.

In contrast to individuals with SAD who show hyperactive amygdala activity in response to socially threatening cues (Etkin and Wager, 2007; Phan et al., 2006; Stein et al., 2002), individuals with bilateral amygdala damage demonstrate impaired detection of angry faces in a crowd, fail to account for social norms in laboratory tasks, and demonstrate poor social decision-making abilities (Bach et al., 2015; Feinstein et al., 2011; Kennedy et al., 2009; Stein and Stein, 2008; Tranel et al., 2006). Similarly, individuals with lesions to the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) demonstrate impaired physiological responses to aversive social stimuli, poorly synchronize conversational rhythms with other people, and exhibit low empathy, a lack of affective theory of mind (i.e., for others’ feelings but not thoughts) and abnormal social conduct, which are associated with poor real-world social and occupational outcomes in employment and relationships (Damasio et al., 1990; Eisinger, 1998; Gordon et al., 2014; Gupta et al., 2012; Hynes et al., 2006; Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2006).

This is not to suggest that the vmPFC and the amygdala are the sole neural substrates of social anxiety, but rather to illustrate that a lack of social anxiety can be dysfunctional because it leads to a failure to deploy appropriate social behaviors. Likewise, although SAD may not be adaptive, given that it is associated with poor functional outcomes, it may represent an extreme on a continuum of a generally adaptive trait that motivates individuals to pay appropriate credence to the navigation of social interactions in order to acquire resources, coalitional partners, and mates. Social worries such as concerns about being disliked are functional in light of the critical and detrimental implications for reproductive fitness of losing access to mates and resources in a social group (Kurzban and Leary, 2001). Indeed, it has been argued that feelings of social anxiety may lead individuals to attempt to behave in socially acceptable ways in a form of impression or reputation management, and to minimize the risk of reduced social cooperation by activating appropriate dispositional responses (e.g., inhibition, submission) in the face of socially mediated threats (Gilbert, 2001). Individuals with very high levels of social anxiety may perceive social threats and trigger such ‘social threat management’ responses very readily, which may turn out to be costly to both reproductive fitness and subjective wellbeing. Those with SAD may therefore be highly susceptible to the anxiolytic effects of alcohol, to which we now turn.

3. The end-directed use of alcohol

Different psychoactive drugs have overlapping though largely heterogeneous effects on the central nervous system, with varying effects on subjective state. Such compounds act on evolutionarily conserved emotion and reward/punishment systems that humans share with other mammals (Nesse and Berridge, 1997). Different emotions are associated with partially segregated neurochemical profiles, and particular classes of drugs may act differently upon these systems (Panksepp, 1998). For instance, central nervous system stimulants like cocaine act on ascending mesolimbic dopaminergic systems and serve to increase appetitive motivation and generate positive feelings and high levels of arousal (Panksepp et al., 2002). Conversely, anxiolytic drugs such as benzodiazepines, opiates, and alcohol are thought to work, alongside their effects on other neurotransmitter systems, by facilitating the transmission of γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA), an inhibitory neurotransmitter (for review see Ticku, 1990). Because anxiety involves a state of hyperarousal, the drug-based reduction in autonomic arousal levels can result in a perceived reduction in anxiety symptoms (Robinson and Bolton, 2013).

Many accounts of drug-taking behaviors draw on the perceived ‘utility’ of certain drugs in altering subjective experience and behavior as an important predictor of use (Boys and Marsden, 2003; Boys et al., 1999, 2001; Cappell, 1975; Müller and Schumann, 2011). In other words, people are thought to take drugs as a ‘means to an end’, such as changing their mood, managing a social situation, or coping with psychological distress (Boys and Marsden, 2003; Boys et al., 1999; Gullo et al., 2010; Schafer and Brown, 1991). For instance, alcohol may be consumed in social settings both because it is expected to provide relief from aversive social anxiety states and to facilitate socialization (Cooper et al., 1995; Pelle and Brodsky, 2000). In social anxiety, the motivation to drink so as to reduce the subjective ‘tension’ felt in social situations and to thereby facilitate social interactions is commonly evoked as a reason for alcohol consumption (Book and Randall, 2002; Cappell, 1975; Cappell and Greeley, 1987).

Laboratory-based studies have demonstrated that alcohol use may lead to decreased social anxiety among both clinically and non-clinically anxious participants, and that this reduction may be based both on pharmacological anxiolytic effects and on expectancies that consumption will fulfill this role (for review see
Battista et al. (2010). In one recent study on the effect of alcohol on social anxiety in real world settings amongst non-clinically anxious people, daily experience sampling revealed that subjective social anxiety was reduced on average by 4% with every alcoholic drink consumed (Battista et al., 2015). Additionally, a number of individual difference variables such as coping style, impulsivity, and alcohol use expectancies likely influence the relationship between social anxiety and alcohol use (Battista et al., 2010; Cooper et al., 1992; Morris et al., 2005; Nicholls et al., 2014).

4. The artificial management of social threats

In what ways might psychoactive compounds act upon threat management systems involved in social anxiety? The process of threat management involves hypervigilance toward potential social threats, and is largely facilitated by anxiety and its associated attentional biases, such as prioritized attentional allocation towards threat-related stimuli (Blanchard et al., 2011; Mogg et al., 2004). As mentioned, social anxiety specifically involves faster orienting to socially threatening information as well as a difficulty disengaging attention from such stimuli (Amir et al., 2003; Mathews et al., 1997; Mogg and Bradley, 1998; Mogg et al., 2004). Cues to potential threats can take many forms, and humans are also capable of voluntarily and involuntarily self-generating anxiety by evoking episodic imagery about potential threats (Milovan et al., 2015). Nonetheless, upon the detection of perceived social threats, the individual must then determine the appropriate behavioral response option in preparation for facing those threats (Bateson et al., 2011).

As such, once a stimulus is deemed threatening, it must be appropriately managed. For instance, avoidance or 'pre-flight' involves increasing the distance between oneself and a potential threat, thereby reducing the risk it may pose to fitness. Social anxiety frequently results in the avoidance of social situations deemed threatening or aversive (Hofmann et al., 2014). Conversely, social submission and appeasement comprise a suite of behaviors (e.g., aversion of eye gaze) thought to be involved in the management of a perceived threat to social status from a dominant social other that cannot be avoided or fled (Schneier et al., 2009; Trower and Gilbert, 1989). This might explain why individuals with a high degree of social anxiety demonstrate an external attentional bias away from emotional faces under conditions of social-evaluative threat (e.g., public speaking, or potential social exclusion), and simultaneous internal bias toward social threat (Buckner et al., 2010a; Mansell et al., 2003, 1999; Pineles and Mineka, 2005).

Once a particular social threat has been managed, it is appropriate for anxiety levels to decrease. Signals can be gleaned from the external environment indicating that a threat is no longer pressing — for example when one has finished a public speech, gone home, and is no longer exposed to the social threat of immediate audience evaluation. The subsequent reduction in anxiety triggered by the 'management' of social threats may result in a low-arousal contented positive affect, hallmarked by a reduced sensitivity to threat and punishment (Panksepp et al., 2002). However, surefire external environmental signals that a threat has been successfully and totally managed are rare (maybe impossible) for certain classes of threats (Szechman and Woody, 2004). For instance, the management of social threats like embarrassment or humiliation may entail avoidance of threat-related social contexts with no clear end goal, especially if one expects that these things are likely to consistently re-occur (Boyer and Lienard, 2006).

Critically, social anxiety is usually attenuated once its functional role has been accomplished, and its subjective correlates become unnecessary (although it may also linger for some time after perceived management of a threat) (Nettle and Bateson, 2012). Thus, affective homeostasis usually results from the perceived successful management or perceived absence of social threats. However, it may also result from the ingestion of particular psychoactive compounds like alcohol, which can artificially down-regulate negative affective states (Battista et al., 2015). In essence, alcohol has the capacity to subdue unpleasant subjective anxiety, thereby artificially signaling that an antecedent threat has been managed successfully, or that there are no pressing social threats.

With the arrival of environmental contingencies that predict a status threat, such as perceived social scrutiny, anxiety may be evoked to motivate and regulate the appropriate management of the situation (e.g., fulfilling a social obligation at the expense of indulging in purely personal interests) (Marks and Nesse, 1994, 1990). A psychoactive substance that is perceived to reduce the negative emotionality associated with this threat (e.g., alcohol) may be consumed to counteract these unpleasant feelings (Book and Randall, 2002). In offsetting this negative emotionality, relief is provided and the social anxiety subdues. Without the ingestion of such an anxiolytic compound, anxiety lingers until signals of the appropriate management of the threat are established, with slower attenuation of anxiety among individuals with more extreme manifestations of social anxiety (i.e., people with SAD) (Nettle and Bateson, 2012). Crucially, alcohol can bypass the antecedent and residual social anxiety, which may have evolved to motivate appropriate care when navigating complex social hierarchies. As a result, affective homeostasis is attainable without changes to the external environment that would otherwise only be possible by the successful navigation of a social situation and the resultant signal of appropriate threat management. In effect, the emotions and their evolved functional purpose become decoupled.

5. Alcohol use patterns in social anxiety disorder

We now turn to the epidemiological and psychological evidence regarding alcohol use patterns in SAD. At the population level, SAD appears to be highly associated with AUD (Buckner et al., 2012; Grant et al., 2004; Hasin et al., 2007; Kessler et al., 1997; Schneier et al., 2010). As outlined above, to the extent that socially anxious individuals ingest anxiolytics in order to artificially improve affective states, they should depend on these compounds insofar as they are able to attain the desired state. Alcohol use among individuals with SAD is not only reinforced by improved affective state, but also by the new behavioral possibilities it entails: in cases where excessive avoidance poses its own fitness threat (i.e., difficulty finding mates), the individual may now facilitate a shift toward social approach behavior. Indeed, there is support for the notion that socially anxious individuals use alcohol as a means of avoidance coping, and alcohol consumption is associated with positive expectancies for social facilitation irrespective of social anxiety levels (Gilles et al., 2006). Furthermore, in one study participants with SAD were found to speak for longer with an interaction partner after consuming alcohol, whilst the interaction partners also exhibited more positive social behaviors towards the socially anxious participants who had consumed alcohol relative to a control beverage (Battista et al., 2012). In this sense, alcohol use may play an important role in attempts to reduce fitness-detrimental behaviors (i.e., sustained avoidance of social contact, repeated submission), as well as attempts to reduce subjective distress. Indeed, recent research indicates that socially anxious individuals who are high in trait approach-motivation may be particularly prone to alcohol use. This subgroup of socially anxious individuals consists of those high in reward sensitivity, rash impulsiveness and risk taking, suggesting that these
individuals may be particularly susceptible to comorbid alcohol use due to a stronger motivation to pursue reinforcement of all kinds (Nicholls et al., 2014). Despite their apprehension toward social situations, socially anxious individuals often greatly desire interactions and relationships with others (Stein and Stein, 2008). Therefore, socially anxious individuals who are high in approach motivation may be particularly reinforced in their use of alcohol, inasmuch as consumption alters behavioral propensities in a way that facilitates their pursuit of social rewards.

Critically, alcohol has been shown to reduce performance anxiety during public speaking in individuals with SAD, to reduce perceived animosity and rejection in neutral and angry faces, and to reduce attentional bias toward angry faces (Abrams et al., 2001; Stevens et al., 2008, 2009). Alcohol intoxication has also been shown to significantly reduce amygdala reactivity in response to social threat-related faces (Gilman et al., 2008; Sripada et al., 2011). Furthermore, in individuals with SAD, alcohol may attenuate an implicit memory bias for social threat-related content by disrupting the consolidation of memory for socially threatening stimuli (Gerlach et al., 2006). In essence, alcohol may effectively attenuate many of the cognitive and motivational aspects of the socially anxious state, which typically prepare the individual to face a potentially threatening social situation. In individuals with clinical levels of social anxiety, this attenuation may temporarily reduce anxiety to within functional levels, and may be used to this end.

6. Current implications of alcohol use amongst socially anxious individuals

At the population level, anxiety disorders fall on a spectrum, and clinical levels lie on one extreme of the distribution. Clinical manifestations of social anxiety are characterized by extreme sensitivity to threat, high arousability, high allostatic load, and persistent avoidance of social situations; these individuals may thus be prone towards seeking out more significant readjustment towards affective homeostasis through end-directed alcohol use aimed at artificially regulating emotional and affective states. In other words, individuals lying on the extreme end of the anxiety spectrum may be particularly susceptible to the artificial signals of threat-management afforded by the ingestion of alcohol, as alcohol use may reduce subjective malaise and facilitate social behaviors (Book and Randall, 2002; Cappell, 1975; Cooper et al., 1992; Khantzian, 1997; Kushner et al., 1990; Robinson and Bolton, 2013).

In the case of individuals with SAD, whose evolved systems for the perception and management of social threats are very readily engaged (perhaps exacerbated by the scale of interpersonal interactions with unknown others bought about by modern sociability), this ‘bypassing’ may be welcome, and more readily sought-out. Indeed, the results of a longitudinal study suggest that most individuals with SAD had not recovered after 10 years of follow-up. Nevertheless, the onset of SAD precedes that of AUD in most cases (Grant et al., 2005; Hofmann et al., 2014). For example, it has been estimated that 50% of cases of SAD occur before age 11, and approximately 80% occur before age 20 (Stein and Stein, 2008). This suggests that social anxiety may negatively impact wellbeing from a young age (Kashdan and Herbert, 2001), and alcohol use for coping reasons may be initiated as a consequence (Buckner et al., 2012, 2008). Indeed, approximately 80% of all AUD cases occur prior to 40 years of age (Eaton et al., 2012). Alcohol use among socially anxious individuals is usually much harder to control than in individuals reporting fewer social anxiety symptoms, and early drinking in order to cope is known to predict later alcohol misuse in adulthood (Blumenthal et al., 2010; Patrick et al., 2011; Villarosa et al., 2014).

Expectations that a drug will assist with coping may form before first use of that drug via familial or cultural learning, and are associated with an earlier onset of problem drinking (Jester et al., 2015). Indeed, positive expectancies that alcohol will aid in the management of anxiety affect or in the facilitation of social behaviors may be an important moderating factor in the relationship between social anxiety and alcohol use (Burke and Stephens, 1999). After learning about the effects of a drug, many of the cognitive and motivational aspects associated with anxiety state may come to be attenuated merely by the belief that one has consumed alcohol, such as a reduction in subjective, physiological and behavioral anxiety in response to a social stressor after consuming placebo beverages (Himle et al., 1999; Wilson and Abrams, 1977; Wilson et al., 1980). The effect of this expectancy-mediated placebo effect and of actually consuming alcohol may be additive, such that actual alcohol consumption more potently reduces social anxiety than the placebo alone (Abrams et al., 2001).

With continued use, psychoactive substances may come to bypass the functional affective mechanisms that have been shaped by natural selection for the regulation of social behavior. It is well established that continued drug taking can negatively affect declarative memory, emotion, motivation, learning and other cognitive processes, through changes to brain structure and function (Gould, 2010). In the context of moderate or normal-range social anxiety, substance use may preclude adaptive anxiety-related processes to take root, potentially barring effective management of manifest and potential social threats. Thus, in the case of individuals within the normal healthy range of social anxiety, alcohol use may result in riskier social behavior, including conflicts with other people: indeed, alcohol use is commonly involved in problem social behaviors (Collins and Messerschmidt, 1993).

Despite perceived facilitation of subjectively more pleasant states in the short-run, excessive drug use can exacerbate physical and mental health problems in the longer-term in some cases. As the effects of a substance wear off, the short-term positive fitness signal wanes, sometimes resulting in withdrawal symptoms after chronic use that can mimic pre-drug levels of anxiety or worse (Kushner et al., 2000). Disruption of neurobiological circuits underlying emotion regulation may be an important factor in this deterioration. Considering that drugs may be taken to regulate emotional state, the fact that continued use may exacerbate dysregulation of emotion is of particular note. Indeed, difficulties in emotion regulation are associated with increased drug use (Berkling et al., 2011; Fucito et al., 2010), and individuals with substance use disorders often exhibit impaired negative emotion regulation capacities, with structural and functional changes to the prefrontal cortex proposed to underlie such difficulties (Kober, 2013).

The ability to regulate one’s negative emotions in times of stress or anxiety is critical for effective daily functioning. That alcohol, despite its initial subjective benefits, may in some cases come to impair this ability through neurobiological changes to the PFC leads to a possible feedback loop in which a decreased capacity for emotion regulation results in increased drug use (to fulfill this role), with this drug use causing further difficulties in regulating emotions through damage to the PFC (Bechara et al., 2001). In turn, the individual may become ever more reliant on drugs to...
artificially simulate states associated with the absence or management of social threats, thereby contributing to a pattern of addiction with limited or transient improvement to overall anxiety symptomology. An interaction between short-term anxiety reduction during alcohol use with long-term anxiety escalation from chronic use and withdrawals may thereby result in a feed-forward cycle wherein anxiety symptoms and alcohol use exacerbate one another (for review see Kushner et al., 2000). Importantly, while the preceding discussion has focused on alcohol, the same temporal sequence may occur with other substances that have anxiolytic properties (Buckner et al., 2007; 2006).

More broadly, the perspectives outlined in this paper have some relevant clinical implications. To recapitulate, humans have evolved to strive towards the minimization of potential harm from perceived social threats. The advent of readily accessible alcoholic beverages—particularly in social settings (Beck and Treiman, 1996; Single and Wortley, 1993)—provides a mechanism for reducing perceived threat via the consumption of alcohol. This suggests that the underlying drives associated with minimizing perceived social threats (which have evolved over millennia) are presently co-opted by a relatively novel feature of the environment. Understanding this, clinicians and practitioners could conceptualize strategies that enable patients not solely to cope with their social anxiety, but also to reduce the degree to which they perceive and attend to social threat, and in turn reduce the alcohol use that it may have engendered (for example see Koster et al., 2009).

7. Phylogenetic and comparative considerations

Animal models of the relationship between social status and alcohol consumption have revealed a number of interesting parallels to alcohol use patterns observed in humans. For instance, socially dominant laboratory rats tend to drink less than subordinate conspecifics (Blanchard et al., 1987; Wolfgang and Heyne, 1991), and fight stress increases the consumption of alcohol more so in submissive than in alpha mice (Hilakivi-Clarke and Lister, 1992). Furthermore, low dominance standing predicts the development of problematic alcohol consumption in colony-housed rats (Ellison and Potthoff, 1984). Thus, it seems likely that the basic cognitive and motivational systems underlying susceptibility to alcohol use among socially anxious individuals as outlined above may not be unique to humans. Further research with non-human great apes could prove particularly informative given recent evidence suggesting that in at least one wild chimpanzee group alcohol consumption is occasionally but widely practiced (Hockings et al., 2015). Observational field studies might seek to explore how this usage varies between individuals as a function of social status in such alcohol-using groups.

Some authors have argued that humans have evolved cognitive specializations for the use of psychoactive substances to aid in functional behaviors (e.g. Müller and Schumann, 2011). However, whether or not humans have evolved mechanisms leading them to use alcohol in ‘strategic’ ways is auxiliary to our argument, as our argument here does not address a potential adaptationist account of the interaction between SAD and AUD. Specifically, we are not postulating that humans have, on account of many generations of interaction with alcohol, evolved domain-specific cognitive and motivational systems to mediate its use for reasons relating to reproductive success; nor are we suggesting that socially anxious people have evolved specific regulatory mechanisms leading them to seek out alcohol in order to facilitate their reproductive success. Instead, we have drawn upon separate evolutionary accounts of social anxiety, and of substance use, in order to shed light on which cognitive and motivational aspects of social anxiety leave individuals with SAD in particular at higher risk of developing AUD. Namely, we have suggested that because social anxiety has evolved over successive generations as a tool for the effective perception and regulation of social threats in social groups, and because alcohol can affect and attenuate the systems involved in this social threat-perception, it is not surprising that high levels of social anxiety (i.e. SAD) are associated with risky alcohol use (i.e. AUD) in contemporary environments as an artificial means to down-regulate threat perception and facilitate social interactivity.

8. Future directions

More work is needed to understand how alcohol use and social anxiety co-occur across the lifespan, and the underlying mechanisms that lead to increased alcohol use among socially anxious individuals. The following research questions may lead to an improved understanding of the frequent co-occurrence of SAD and the use of psychoactive substances including alcohol:

1. SAD is a chronic condition, with symptoms persisting to some degree for many years (Wittchen and Fehm, 2003). Many cases of SAD are estimated to occur during adolescence, and most cases are estimated to have first onset within the first 20 years of life (Kessler et al., 2005; Stein and Stein, 2008). The peak incidence of substance use disorders in the general population also appears to hover around 20 years of age, with about half of all incident cases occurring by that point (Eaton et al., 2012; Kessler et al., 2005). What is the longitudinal relationship between social anxiety and substance abuse beginning in adolescence and early adulthood, when the emergence of these disorders appears to be most common? As young adults enter new situations marked by social change and uncertainty, in which evolved mechanisms for detecting and managing social threats to fitness become heavily engaged (Boyer and Bergstrom, 2011), are those who experience higher levels of social anxiety thereby more likely to turn to alcohol, or more likely to develop problematic usage patterns? For example, clinical SAD represents a risk factor for AUD (Buckner et al., 2008; Schneier et al., 2010), but so too does sub-clinical social anxiety (Crum and Pratt, 2014). Adolescents and young adults with high levels of social anxiety may be particularly prone to alcohol use on account of the availability and high prevalence of this behavior in social contexts and settings in Western countries (Beck and Treiman, 1996; Single and Wortley, 1993), with implications for finding a partner. More research is needed to understand the temporal relationship between SAD and the onset of AUD.

2. Higher degrees of social anxiety are associated with fixation to external cues of social threat, submissive behaviors (which entail avoidance of threat cues), and heightened inward attention to threat (Buckner et al., 2010b; Mansell and Clark, 1999; Mogg et al., 2004; Schulze et al., 2013). Alcohol has been found to reduce social anxiety and attentional bias to angry faces (Abrams et al., 2001; Stevens et al., 2008, 2009). However, it remains to be seen whether reduced anxiety and attentional bias toward threatening faces is also associated with reduced inward attention (i.e. during self-reflection) toward threat among socially anxious individuals under the influence of alcohol. Heightened inward attention toward threatening situations in one’s imagination of future scenarios may represent a significant source of distress, but may also have adaptive benefits because it precipitates the avoidance of future threats (Miloyan et al., 2015). Alcohol might change behavioral propensities by altering expectations for the likelihood of social threat in such anticipated future scenarios (Miloyan et al., 2014).

3. Laboratory rats and mice tend to self-administer anxiolytic drugs when in anxiety-like states (Manzo et al., 2014; Olsson...
Hill,